Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/823,414	TENE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hooman Houshmand	2465	
All Participants:	Status of Application: discussed non-final rejection		
(1) <u>Hooman Houshmand</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Diana Fu (attorney)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 1 October 2009	Time: <u>2:25pm</u>		
Type of Interview:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: as stated in the first office action.			
Claims discussed: 1, 5, 8, 25, 29, 32.			
Prior art documents discussed: as stated in the first office action.			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
/Hooman Houshmand/ Examiner, Art Unit 2465	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if :	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the possibility of incorporating the limitations of claims 5, 8, and 25 into claim 1; and, incorporating the limitations of claim 32 and claim 8 into claim 29. In addition, discussed various antecedent basis issues; typographical issues; replacing "wherein" with "and" in the independent claims that will be constructed, and also stating on line 3 of claim 1 that a switch is performing the establishing of the connections.